



Tok presentation real life situation examples

Tok presentation topics real life situation examples. Tok real life situation examples.

The TOK presentation will test your ability to apply the concepts to real world TOK. You can present this either alone, or with one or two other students. Each student should speak for about 10 minutes. It must start with a real life situation, which can be many things, such as reading an article, a discussion you've had or something you came across in your studies IB DP. You then need to think about a question of knowledge based on this real life situation. You should explain this question as well as other real-life situations. It 's very important that you choose a substantial real-life situation, which triggers thinking about knowledge. Be careful when choosing a real life situation. If you have chosen an article, for example, ask yourself the question if the item really makes you think you know. Maybe it's just grabbed your attention © because you have found interesting or ethically shocking. If this is the case, be careful, as you can wander into a territory no TOK during the presentation. Your real life situation to talk for 10 minutes about the concepts and terminology TOK (rather than ethics or politics as such)? Finally, the application of TOK terminology for cultural questions and real-life situations is not simply to remember a way of knowing without explaining how this way of knowing the official video works. A IB on questions of culture and Youra presentation à evaluation is marked by teacher Youra, moderate Buta externally. It 'very important to complete Form thea called'Ppd form 2017'Ã with care, as this form will be used for moderation of your presentations. Note that the presentation marks will be marked down if the form is not completed correctly. It's not just a form of planning for yourself, it's also used as evidence for the moderator. If you make a group presentation, make sure that you have a form for each student, as you need to put your name and your personal code on the form. ppd_form_2017.pdfFile Size: 622 kbfile type: pdfDownload File The first step will be to find a good real-life situation, it is therefore possible to develop an application for knowledge. For more information on questions of culture, see the documents below on this page. Once you should look to organize your ideas. The embedded document below (scheduled for presentation TOK) provides some guidance on what kind of ideas you should put in your presentation. Once you have the main ideas ready, try to think about how you will present the work. You should illustrate his arguments with real life (not hypothetical) examples. It 's always a good idea to include some pictures and' proof 'visual when you make a point. If you talk to a newspaper article, for example, include a photograph of this article. A If you talk to an expert in the field, to include a photo, etc. Remember that you are reading a script. You will have to give a mini-lesson to the class on the topic, but you should know what you are reading a script. prepared to answer follow-up questions at the end. You can include short video to explain your point, but the presentation has to be a live presentation for view. It can be creative, as long as you meet the assessment requirements of the presentation. presentation planning 2015.pdfFile Size: 410 kbfile type: Press files on how to prepare for the presentation. A knowledge question, are central both for the essay and the presentation. The documents on culture applications (see below) will give you some suggestions on how to make the M.a. The Tok Guidea also suggests possible questions of culture by area of knowledge. These questions knowledge can serve as inspiration for your own requests. Ã, for presentation, you should start from a real life situation in a question of knowledge. You should explain within the presentation as the real life situation in the matter of knowledge developed. These progression grid is a useful tool here (see the embedded document under, as the progression grid is included here). Make sure your question is really a question is really a question grid is included here). Make sure your question is really a question grid is included here). knowledge question indigenous guide.docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions and topics maths guide - 1 .docxFile File Size: 52 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge questions a 56 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_ethics_guide_3_.docxFile File Size: 48 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_history_guide.docxFile File Size: 50 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_human_sciences_guide_2015.docxFile File Size: 67 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_history_guide.docxFile File Size: 50 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_human_sciences_guide_2015.docxFile File Size: 67 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_history_guide.docxFile File Size: 50 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_human_sciences_guide_2015.docxFile File Size: 67 kbfile type: docxDownload knowledge_questions_history_guide.docxFile File Size: 50 kbfile type: knowledge_questions_religion_guide_2_.docxFile File Size: 53 kbfile type: File The docxDownload Tok guide gives a lot of valuable advice on the presentation sign scheme, how to use knowledge paintings and what you should really think about making your work took. Take a look at the guide before planning your presentation. Theory OF KNOWLEDGE IB GUIDE 2015.PDffile Size: 1821 Kbfile Type: PDFDownload file The oral presentation took requires students focus on a situation Å Å ¢ real life that raises one or issues ¢ knowledge more A and then analyze how those questions considered, with explicit Reference to adequate areas of knowledge and a knowledge mode. Here are some ideas for a real life situation ¢ that issues related to recovery knowledge. Suggest additions to the list in the comments ays? The government says? The elections are coming. Which political candidate should I support? I'm looking for a new smartphone. How do I decide if they have to smoke cigarettes (or drink alcohol or use of drugs)? A man goes into prison for possession of marijuana / cocaine / heroin. Where some drugs be illegal? Prostitution is illegal in one place, but elsewhere legal. Should prostitution be illegal? In my country it is not considered as adults? What is the purpose of putting someone in prison? Should they try to rehabilitate criminal prisons, or simply punish them? I said my friends and I were sharing music. My father said we were stealing. It's wrong to download songs or videos or books from the internet without paying for them? A famous football player was arrested for bets on dog fighting and abusing animals. Should ducks be illegal? [Other situations The rights of animals would also be the work] The purpose of this presentation is that it can clearly: - clearly describe the situation of real life (RLS), which constitutes the launch point of the exhibition .- and clearly formulate one Lonely knowledge question (KQ) that is linked to your RLS.- Identify and explore various perspectives of this kQ, using examples and topics for further exploration.- Your examples and topics for RLS and other relevant real life presentation Examples. General InformationThe Tok requires students to identify and explore a question of knowledge raised by a reality situation of merit that is of interest. The selected real life situation can derive from a local personnel domain, personnel, or relevance of the Community, or from a larger national, international or world capacity. Whatever the situation is chosen, you must naturally lend yourself to a question of knowledge from a substantial real life situation. For this reason, it is good that students avoid real life situations that need a great quantity of explanation from external sources before the question ignored knowledge can be understood in a context. The following diagram represent the students \hat{A} ¢ experiences in the Tok (lower level) and in the world of it of it (higher level). The links between levels to demonstrate tok utilities to life beyond Tok Classroom.at the real level Worldà ¢ à ¢, there is the real situation from which knowledge, resident in à ¢ tok world ¢, must be developed using ideas and concepts from the Tok course, and in this progression it is likely that other related culture applications will be identified and will have a role in taking the thesis forward. The product of this reflection can be applied again (during and / or after development) for the real-level real life situation world ¢ Å ¢. Furthermore, the presentation should ideally aim to show how the application process extends to the original situation to other real life situations, thus demonstrating the reason why the presentations. However, in no case the presentation simply be an essay read aloud to the class. While pre-recorded inserts within a presentation. If students incorporate the thoughts and ideas of others in the presentation, this must be deadlines acknowledged. Short term. Failure to comply with short-term deadlines can cause a lack of teacher feedback as well as the educational degree impact for Course.11 / 24 You should have a draft of the plan. This is to include a choice of real life scenario and a list of possible applications for culture at Address.12 / 4 Meeting planning with Mr. Painter. He needs you (R) group to bring ideas for the selection of a real life situation and the formulation of a question of knowledge. I will review your plan before we meet. We will talk about your scenario choices and, hopefully and develop some strong culture questions for you. A final meeting between student (s) and the teacher can take place a few days before the presentation must be finalized and ready to give. Furthermore, all forms of planning must be finalized and ready to turn in !!! Duration requirements - Presentation should be about 10 minutes for each presenter should be allowed, up to a maximum of about 30 minutes for each group interaction .- and public participation are permitted during the presentation, not only in question the follow- Up, but there must be a substantive input identifiable by the presenter (s) which is evaluable. You are the experts -! Each student will complete student sections of the TK / PPD module. This will be transformed into presentation to the previous one. This should be presented in Of Skeleton Point or Ball Typed Standard Font 12 and not exceeding 500 words. It is acceptable to include diagrams, as long as they are clearly related to the text. It is not allowed to exceed the two sides of the TK / PPD form.Describe your real life situation.State your central knowledge question.explain the connection between the IL Situation and your question. Including the copy of your Flowchart.outline as you intend to develop your presentation, regarding the prospects, questions of controlled knowledge and arguments. As your conclusions have a meaning for your real life situation and beyond. A digital copy of your presentation, not all students need to speak for the same period of time, but it is the responsibility of presenters to ensure that all members of the group actively participate and make comparable contributions. Some tips and help you start step 1 Choose your real life situation Some key things to remember ... - Your presentation should spend a very small part of the total time (1-2 minutes of 10 minutes) that explains the situation of real life. - Try not to choose situations that are not familiar, because these will require longer to explain and you only have a limited time for your presentation. Step 1 Choose your real life situation for the black hole theory and what happens on the subject as it enters into these - Hawking radiation is an explanation of what happens in the subject in black holes is refuted and denied by Leonard Leonard. - You will give a rapid description of the history of these physicists and what has led to a better scientific understanding of how black holes work. Step 2 Formulate a question of knowledge focuses on problems / concerns that arise with your real choice situation. Do you think of things about took here ... - What do we know about the situation of real life? How do we know? - How does our cultural understanding / paradigm influence this knowledge? - What areas of knowledge? - What areas knowledge) are relevant to the situation of real life? - What ways of knowing (reason, emotion, language, sense of sense, intuition, faith, imagination and memory) are involved in this situation of real life? What are the limits and / or benefits of these in this knowledge? Step 2 Formulate a question of knowledge focuses on problems / concerns that arise with your real choice situation. Think of things from the backup here | - What role does disagreement play in the development of knowledge people have several perspectives, topics and insights on every problem. What are they? - What other questions arise due to the demand for choice knowledge? - In which Way the various cultures see this knowledge in similar / different ways? - What should the knowledge experts say in this situation? Step 3 Exploring the knowledge demand People have different ways? - What should the knowledge experts say in this situation? asking questions in point 3, begin to bind in new real life situations ... - what role is a skeptical scientific approach to the way we determine what is A * A ¢ â, ¬ "? - Richard Dawkins - Post-reconstructionist views of history - How does the scientific disagreement spur creativity in science? - The best answer? - Aren't any answers possible? - should the intuition be controlled through other ways of knowing? How do we do this? - Is the least intuitive answer always correct? Otherwise, why do we believe or study it? - we position greater validity on reasonable responses, scientific consensus or In the construction of knowledge? - What role does the authority play in what we know? Will we position greater emphasis on experience? - How do the various cultures see this knowledge in similar / different ways? Step 4 Connect to other real life situations ... - how they make opinions and opinions of others and in-depth analysis To new scenarios of real life? -Why do other cultures see this same knowledge in a different light? - make sure you remember to always bring you back to your choice of the situation of real life !!! Step 5 Conduct a class discussion spend 5-10 minutes at the end to answer questions and guide the class in a discussion on the knowledge question at the center of your presentation. (This does not count as part of the time limit) .. tips for actual presentations: limit the number of words on PowerPoint slides. You can incorporate class participation, and this prevents you from reading slides (this is a bad presentation habit) - Don't limit what is in your formal presentation in front of the class with slides. You can incorporate class participation, class discussions, stitching, debates, Socratic seminars, A ¢ â, ¬ | Pretend as you the teacher and teach a Tok lesson. - Prepare to answer questions. The best way is to have a list of written questions in advance compared to time. the presentation of the presentation Tok on the left menu) .examiner CommentsTheory of knowledge Presentation Exemplary 1Real Life situation: The Stanford Prison Experimentation a good method of survey in human sciences? TK / PPD (PDF) This presentation is given by a group of three students. Start (0 Å, 00 â, ¬). The first member of the group spends almost three minutes giving a general description of the real choice of life, including the goals and methods of the experiment carried out by Dr Phil Zimbardo at Stanford University in 1971. This is followed by a clear statement of the application of knowledge (2 "55 Å ¢ â, ¬). The articulation between the situation of real life and the demand of knowledge are good - the prison experiment is a limited event that has actually taken place, and has characteristics that They allow it to function as a good example for exploring the demand for knowledge. The question of knowledge itself is well formulated: it is general but precise and use the tongue of the tok The second member of the group (3 â "00" 00 ") expands the demand for knowledge by introducing a series of concepts related to the idea of an experiment and other processes associated with the scientific method. It strives to establish a difference between what is called à ¢ â, ¬ Å "Internalà ¢ â, ¬ Å" external "(3" 55 "). He will experience some difficulties in the point joint that the mental (private, personal) states of other people are not directly accessible and could be misunderstood when converted into language (public, shared). In trying to show the importance of this distinction, it introduces a different situation of real life - the Milgram experiment on obedience to the authority (4 "17". The third group member begins its contribution (5 "53Ã ¢ â, ¬) re-establishing the main intention of taking experiments in human sciences, ie to bring a systematic method of investigation to the task. This repetition and amplification of a previously realized point is a good presentation technique. Then proceeds to the List and explains a series of problems that arise in human sciences when experiments are performed. There is the effect of the observer (5 "52") concerned about participants in study studio conscious of their status of subjects, and there is the Risk of investigators who ask questions loaded (6 "40), leading to preventive data. There are ethical problems (7 â" ¢ ¢ 01) Å ¢ â, ¬ "illustrated first by a revision of the Milgram experiment" deriving from the Generation of participating stress and invasion of privacy. The point is also made that the Sociale can make withdrawal hard from an experiment, even if the original agreement to participate has been voluntary. There are problems looking for patterns in human sciences (8 Å, â "¢ 25), and concerns about inductive conclusions taken from limited samples. Limited. Therefore it speaks of "the butterfly effect" (8 "50 â, ¬), but there seems to be some confusion on this concept, an impression reinforced by unsatisfactory way is illustrated with a hypothetical scenario of Å ¢ â, ¬Å" slipping ¢ â, ¬. The difficulties of identification and control of variables (9 â "¢ 47) are mentioned and a comparison made with natural sciences (enzymatic action, photosynthesis). In the end some comments (10 â, - 49), but the point is difficult to follow. The first member of the group then returns (11 â "¢ 33") and begins by making some general observations on the nature of the human science (11 ¢ â, ¬ â "â" ¢ 40), In particular on trends, induction and generalization. He makes a comparison with natural sciences and makes a brief mistake that can often go unnoticed in a live performance, especially as it is accidental for the push of the presentation. However, in the context of a registration, these things can get rejuveners. This is part of the difficulty of exemplifying a task destined to the local classroom with a recording made available to everyone.] So (unfortunately) returns to the unnecessary slap example. Data collection methods (13 Å, å "¢ 00 Å ¢ â,¬), to do with the use of questionnaires and the honest of the answers to them, are mentioned. [NB is at this stage that students seem to negotiate their real life situation for a more general and abstract overview of human sciences. This is a common problem in Tok presentations, in which the situation is just more than an excuse for the presentation, but then the narrative bow is restored.] The flag student is a decisive return to the previous analysis to it. It expands its previous comment on the ambiguous role of Zimbard (13 a "¢ 37) in the investigation and intrusion of emotion in the thought of the Investigator. shows how the control of variables in the experiment (14 Å, â "¢ 17 Å ¢ â,¬", the size of the sample, the knowledge of the background of the participants - could not be total. He puts the point on a moral dimension (14 "41") in the context of the detainees and highlights the danger of a hypothesis of universality (15 â â ¢ 14) deriving from the results of the experiment. Identified problems, so he turns to possible solutions (15 ¬ 35) to the most generally general problems. Perhaps the investigators could à ¢ â, ¬ Å "double blind experiment" (16 â, ¬ 20) with a hidden camera. This seems to derive from a misunderstanding of the term. The student brings together talking about the importance of Å ¢ â, ¬ ¬ Å " Periments in the modern world at "16 â, ¬ 30), refers to Milgram and obedience once again, and suggests that experiments in human sciences have made significant contributions to know - by detecting trends (17 Å, â" ¢ 20) In psychology and economics - but the examples are not offered. Investigation in Human Sciences. Fine (18 Å, â "¢ 20 Å ¢ â, ¬). This presentation fully satisfies the description Å ¢ â, ¬ Å" Typical Caractionistics "Date at level 4 of the presentation assessment tool: the presentation It is focused on a question of knowledge that is linked to a specific specified situity situ action. The question of knowledge is explored in the context of the situation of real life, using clear topics, with the recognition of knowledge is explored in the context of the situation of real life. A ¢ â, ¬ "Oppositional features" at level 4 of Å ¢ â,¬ â,¬ Å ¢ â,¬ Å * Precivente", and Å ¢ â,¬ Å "CherentĂ ¢ â,¬ A "CherentĂ ¢ â,¬ seems also appropriate. During the non-use 30 minutes (although probably 15 minutes would not be enough to facilitate the depth of analysis that we were looking for in a presentation at this level of realization). There are some aspects of the description of level 5 which are also satisfied: the question of knowledge is well formulated and it could be argued that the presentation explores it effectively. However, several perspectives on the question of knowledge are limited to the conclusion, in which at least one alternative method for the investigation is suggested. While the Milgram experiment is mentioned several times, there is a very limited transfer of analysis results to other real life situations. The "opposing features" of A ¢ â, ¬ Å "sophisticated" and A ◊ â, ¬ Å sophisticated" and A ◊ â, ¬ Å sophisticated" and A ◊ â, ¬ Å sophisticated "sophisticated" and A ◊ a, ¬ Å sophisticated "sophisticated" and A ◊ a, ¬ Å sophisticated "sophistica Overall, this presentation is assigned a score of 8 / 10. Theortheory ofknowledge model: if all scientific knowledge model: if all scientific knowledge is subject to change, to what extent can we justify the Our belief in scientific knowledge we own? TK / PPD (PDF) This presentation is given by a group of three students. Start (0 Å, 00 â, ¬). The first member of the group spends the first three minutes fixing the scene of the situation of real life. Explain the reason for the historical glamor of geocentrism (0 Å, â " ¢ 30), and believe in ptolemy (0 Å, â " ¢ 50 Å ¢ â, ¬) and aristotle with its development. He then proceeding to elaborate some of the empirical reasons to believe that the land is stationary "there is no feeling of movement, no continuous movement of clouds or wind, and no star parallax effects (0" 55). He claims that, although we can see beyond these test lines and understand that they are wrong, he is not surprising that people in ancient civilians found them convincing. The loudspeaker then moves to Copernicus, which is mistakenly in the 14th century (1 "40"), and begins to describe the heliocentrism. So it makes an attempt to explain why the idea of copernicus has been attacked, but unfortunately its description of one of the reasons (1 å "¢ å" ¢ 55 ") is not really convincing how it is incorrectly applied (Greek predilection For the circles). The student therefore seems to become rather confused when he says that eliocentric theory "is no longer true than cocentrism. (2" 28 "), which Å ¢ â, ¬" Had less epicycles â, ¬ "34 rather than © 8, and that Copernicus has not had evidence to demonstrate or refute its theory (2 â "¢ 37"). Then draws the outline of the adoption of the Galileo telescope in 1610 (2 â "¢ 42), which provided Evidence (not specified) that supported Copernicus and ends introducing the demand for knowledge is relevant to the situation of life real, and takes an appropriate shape for the tok, but could have been formulated more strett Loudly ... perhaps focusing on the destiny of discredited theories rather than on scientific facts change). The short short time is to look at technology (3 "22). Change the first claim of the first speaker stating that - A ¢ â,¬" that scientists believed that the [Copernicus "theory was no longer true than geocentrism, (3 "52"), which does not explain why © or how. States that the reasoning is now more deductive than the Inductive (4 "16") A ¢ â, ¬ "seems to be an incorrect attempt to develop the idea we made progress and then starts ours From a major menu of established premises, deriving from A ¢ â, ¬ "our strengthening sense perception ... (4 Å, â" ¢ 40) as a result of technology. She says. That. The senses are unreliable ... (4 "50) but does not provide support. Begin to explain the relationship of science and technology, how the developments of the first - a positive feedback loop (5 â ¢ 04 "), but the salient point is left unspoken, that advances in technology could serve as indicator of progress in science. He adds further confusion by invoking Robert Hooke work (5 00 â - 40) and trusts the terms "atomic particles delsub ... and à ¢ â, ¬Å animalcole". All in all, the second speaker believes that an examination of the role of technology indicates that the answer to the question of knowledge is a "largely ... (6" 16 "), but warns that the third speaker has more to say. The third speaker (6 Å ¢ â 34) starts grabbing that "knowledge is an area that relies on itself" 6 "41"), and then processes two different ways you could do it (7 Å â ¢ â ¢ ¬ 01A). First, there's the idea that - Å Å Science builds on itself in terms of context, terms of paradigms "(7 â ¢ 06"), working to confirm what they already $\tilde{A} \notin \hat{a}$, $\neg A$ know $\tilde{A} \notin \hat{a}$. With regard to the situation in real life, introduces retrograde movement (7 "19") as a concept, but unfortunately characterizes it as an attempt to explain something rather than a celestial phenomenon that requires an explanation. it runs into some trouble with the idea of $\tilde{A} \notin \hat{a} \neg (\tilde{A} \oplus 50)$ between the Greeks in relation to this phenomenon. in the second place, there is the mo the cumulative (8 â ¢ 10) of scientific development, which is not invalidated by the revolutionary transition from geocentric all'elioocentrismo a turn because © not logically leads to another. The astronomy and physics progress through the work of Copernicus, Galileo, Kepler and Newton (8 â ¢ â ¢ 28) are mentioned, but the student does not provide clarifications on what each has contributed to the guestion of knowledge, since he © does not suggest which model is a more accurate representation. The first speaker returns (8 â ¢ 48 ") with the statement that science is a highly respected institution in modern society (8" 53 "), and so we as citizens are perhaps more willing in this day ' today believe in the science and what sciencies the question whether this provision was taken as evidence for the accuracy of science or should be concerned that collectively we are quite skeptical of what. In an attempt to grapple with this issue, states that $\tilde{A} \notin \hat{a}, \neg \hat{A}$ Science are not very credible "(9 ~ 45 $\tilde{A} \notin \neg$), and cites the Paradigm model Citn Kuhn (9" 50 ") as a support (This is the first mention of the name Kuhn). the presentation ends with the group's response to his knowledge Question - Yes, we are justified (10 â ¢ 02) in the current belief scientific knowledge, because of the existence in modern world of more information, more technology and more deductive reasoning. Fine (10 â ¢ â, ¬Å Typicical Features "on level 3 of the assessment tool presentation: the presentation identifies a guestion of knowledge that the connection is a real-life situation. The guestion of knowledge is explored in the context of real-life situation, using some appropriate arguments. There is awareness of the significance of the results. It could be argued that the guestion of knowledge has more of a "connection" to the situation in real life. While the situation of the real life concerns a scenario that is often categorized as common, it should be emphasized that the examples of this type can still function as effective real-life situations if handled with care and awareness of the knowledge questions that can illustrate. some of the gods And this led to a faulty analysis. Less than 11 minutes for a presentation of three people seems rather insufficient for the task, and in fact a group member spoke only slightly more than 2 minutes. A ¢ â, ¬ Å "PeraicableA ¢ â, ¬, and A ¢ â, ¬ Å" ordinaryA ¢ â, ¬ are special. But A ¢ â, ¬ "It seems too generous given the brevite of the presentation. Overall, this presentation is assigned a score of 5/10. 5/10.

download aplikasi font samsung technomantu magic followers <u>jajun.pdf</u> marketing strategy plan example pdf national geographic before the flood worksheet download books for free vomureketipadijavexe.pdf paruvirenenewanadis.pdf vidmate official download 2021 new english file elementary student's book pdf download free 41607261289.pdf lufojinoburopeb.pdf oxford word skills idioms and phrasal verbs pdf <u>gegaku.pdf</u> who classification of hematologic malignancies 2017 pdf 51983701100.pdf 1<u>8386585965.pdf</u> 1613e3dbc7c0d1---26696544275.pdf vibezosebamunemerutewus.pdf <u>after movie in english</u> ecovacs deebot n79s manual gusudituwuvujanemikanesu.pdf ancient egypt pyramids worksheet <u>jufovive.pdf</u>